

SETTLEMENT OF PATENT LITIGATION AND DISPUTES:
IMPROVING DECISIONS AND AGREEMENTS TO SETTLE AND LICENSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Summary)

JOHN W. SCHLICHER

February 16, 2011

Law Office of John W. Schlicher
304 Lowell Lane W.
Lafayette, CA 94549
Tel and Fax: 925-284-7675
Cell: 925-818-1484
e-mail: johnschlicher@comcast.net
www.johnschlicher.com

Copyright John W. Schlicher 2011
All rights reserved

Table of Contents (Part I)

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Importance of Patent Settlements	1
B.	Advantages of Settlement.....	2
C.	Approach and Tools for Settlement.....	4
D.	Uses of the Approach and Tools	5
	1. Qualitative Analysis	5
	2. Quantitative Analysis	5
	3. Use in Negotiation.....	6
	4. Cooperative, Joint Use of the Approach.....	7
	5. Use in ADR Processes.....	7
	6. Use in Settlement Decisions	8
	7. Use in Managing Litigation	8
	8. Overcoming the Barriers to Negotiated Settlement	8
II.	THE DECISION TO SETTLE LITIGATION BASED ON THE EFFECTS OF A JUDGMENT ON THE PATENT OWNER AND THE ACCUSED INFRINGER.....	11
A.	Basic Model of Settlement Decisions.....	11
B.	Expected Value and Cost of Litigation	12
C.	Impact of Litigation Costs	13
D.	Impact of Transaction Costs.....	14
E.	Simple Example	14
F.	Important Aspects of the Basic Model of Settlement in Patent Actions	19
	1. Differences in Probability Estimates Are Highly Likely.....	19
	2. Settlement Prospects Depend on Differences in Probability Estimates and Estimates of the Value and Cost of Judgment, Settlement Payments on Absolute Probabilities and Amounts	20
	3. Likelihood of Differences Is Probably Related to Absolute Probabilities	20
	4. Efforts to Acquire the Ability to Make and Improve a Probability Estimate Affects the Timing of Settlement.....	21
	5. Confidence in Probability Estimates Should Not Affect the Decision to Settle	23
	6. Settlement Occurs before Judgment and Time Is Important.....	24
	7. Importance of Litigation Costs.....	27
G.	Impact of Attitudes Toward Risk	31
	1. Risk Aversion	32
	2. Risk Preference and Loss Aversion	33
	3. Identifying Attitudes toward Risk	35
	4. Nature of the Risk-Adjusted Value and Cost of Litigation.....	36
H.	Impact of Increased Damages	50
I.	Impact of Attorneys' Fees Awards.....	54
J.	Impact of Counterclaims	58

1.	Antitrust Counterclaims	58
2.	Actual or Potential Patent Infringement Claims and Counterclaims by Infringers	60
K.	Other Aspects of the Basic Model of Settlement in Patent Actions	60
1.	More Complex Array of Outcomes.....	60
2.	More Complex Array of Infringers	63
3.	More Complex Array of Actions; ITC Proceedings.....	64
L.	Timing of Settlement	64
1.	Stages of Patent Litigation: Trial, Summary Judgment, and Claim Construction.....	64
2.	Reexamination Proceedings.....	66
M.	Decision under Alternative Forms of Settlement.....	66
1.	No Injunction, Dismissal without Prejudice, No Release, and No Other Judgment.....	66
2.	No Payment for Past Infringement, Release, Dismissal with Prejudice, and Immunity from Action for Future Infringement.....	67
3.	No License for the Future, Injunction, Payment for Past Infringement, and Release for Past Infringement.....	67
4.	Royalty-Bearing License for the Future, Payment for Past Infringement, and Other Terms.....	71
III.	THE DECISION TO LICENSE BASED ON THE EFFECTS OF A LICENSE ON THE PATENT OWNER AND POTENTIAL LICENSEE.....	75
A.	Commercial Condition for Licensing	76
1.	Owner's Economic Value of Not Licensing.....	77
2.	Expected Payments.....	80
3.	Licensee's Economic Value with Licensing.....	80
4.	Profits for Purpose of the Decision to License	82
5.	Effect of Transaction Costs.....	87
6.	Effect of Risk.....	87
7.	Accounting Approaches to the Economic Value of an Invention.....	89
B.	Litigation Condition for Licensing.....	90
1.	Probability of Litigation.....	91
2.	Licensing and the Value and Cost of Patent Remedies.....	92
3.	Licensing and the Value and Cost of an Injunction	92
C.	Where Only One Condition Controls Settlement.....	94
1.	Litigation Condition Controls the Past.....	94
2.	Commercial Condition Controls the Future for a Potential Licensee Subject to an Injunction.....	94
D.	Where the Commercial or Litigation Condition Controls Settlement	94
1.	Commercial or Litigation Factors May Control the Future	94
2.	Commercial Value Is Greater or Less Than Litigation Value and Cost	95
3.	Commercial Value and Litigation Value and Cost Overlap.....	96
4.	Commercial Value Always Includes Litigation Value and Cost, or Litigation Value and Cost Always Include Commercial Value.....	97

E.	Decision to Settle and License Where Litigation and Licensing Are Not Alternatives	97
1.	Effect of the Law on Both the Decision to License and Royalties	98
2.	Licensing Unconstrained by <i>Lear</i>	100
3.	Licensing Constrained by <i>Lear</i>	100
4.	Licensing Constrained by <i>MedImmune</i>	104
F.	Existing Licenses May Constrain a Patent Owner’s Decisions on Litigating or Licensing—Licenses to Competitors of the Licensee	107
G.	Agreements to License May Constrain a Patent Owner’s Decisions on Litigating or Licensing	108
IV.	THE DECISION TO SETTLE OR LICENSE BASED ON THE ADDED EFFECTS OF A JUDGMENT ON THE PARTIES’ RELATIONS WITH NONPARTIES	109
A.	The Patent Owner’s Perception of the Effects of a Judgment on Future Actions and Existing and Future Licenses	109
1.	Value of Successful Litigation in Deterring Infringement by Others, Encouraging Others to License, and Increasing Payments under Existing Licenses	109
2.	Cost of Unsuccessful Litigation in Permitting Infringement and Ending Royalty Payments	110
3.	Net Future Effect	111
B.	Infringer Perceives a Judgment to Be Valuable or Costly for Future Litigation and Licensing	114
1.	Potential Cost of Winning to the Infringer	114
2.	Potential Value of Winning to the Infringer	115
C.	Settlement with Third Party Effects of a Judgment	115
V.	THE DECISION TO SETTLE OR LICENSE BASED ON THE ADDED EFFECTS OF AN AGREEMENT ON THE PARTIES’ RELATIONS WITH NONPARTIES	117
A.	Patent Owner Perceives Settlement or Licensing to Be Valuable or Costly for Future Actions or Licenses	117
1.	Licensing One Company at Some Rate Will Limit the Rate Others Will Pay	117
2.	Licensing Some Increases the Likelihood Others Will License	118
3.	Licensing Increases the Likelihood of a Future Validity Judgment	118
4.	Licensing Reduces the Likelihood of Litigation over Validity and the Costs of an Invalidation Judgment	118
5.	Settlement or Licensing Increases the Likelihood of Litigation with Others	119
6.	Settlement or Licensing with One Reduces Payments It Will Obtain from Others	119
7.	Settlement or Licensing Agreements in One Action Limit the Payments the Owner Will Accept from Others	120
8.	Payments for One Action Limit Damages for Others	120
9.	Net Effect	120
B.	Infringer or Potential Licensee Perceives Settlement or Licensing to Be Valuable or Costly for Future Actions or Licenses	121

1.	Infringer or Potential Licensee Believes That Settlement or Licensing Will Provide Other Benefits	121
2.	Infringer or Potential Licensee Believes That Settlement or Licensing Will Have Costs	121

Table of Contents (Part II)

VI.	APPLYING THE THEORY OF SETTLEMENT TO A PATENT ACTION.....	125
A.	Pertinent Time Periods	125
B.	Commercial Condition for Licensing	125
C.	Litigation Condition for Settlement.....	125
D.	Settlement with a License	126
1.	Settlement for the Past	127
2.	Settlement for the Period after Negotiations Commence and Prior to an Injunction.....	127
3.	Settlement for the Period after an Injunction.....	127
E.	Settlement without a License.....	128
F.	Damages Measures	128
1.	Measures of Reasonable Royalty Damages.....	128
2.	Another Possible “Measure” of Damages	135
3.	Correct Way to Measure Reasonable Royalty Damages	135
G.	Value and Cost of an Injunction	136
VII.	EXAMPLES OF APPLYING THE THEORY.....	137
A.	Important Patent Controlling a Large and Highly Profitable Market.....	137
1.	Settlement Prospects Based on Expected Values and Cost (Not Risk- Adjusted Value and Cost).....	140
a.	Prospects for a License for the Future	142
b.	Settlement for Past Infringement.....	143
c.	Litigation Alternative.....	144
d.	Prospects for Settlement Including a License	144
e.	Prospects for Settlement without a License	144
f.	Summary of Settlement Prospects Based on Expected Values and Cost.....	145
2.	Settlement Prospects Based on Risk-Adjusted Values and Cost (Not Expected Value and Cost).....	146
a.	Prospects for a License for the Future	146
b.	Settlement for Past Infringement.....	148
c.	Litigation Alternative.....	149
d.	Prospects for Settlement Including a License	149
e.	Prospects for Settlement without a License	149
f.	Summary of Settlement Prospects in Dollars Based on Risk- Adjusted Expected Values and Cost.....	150
3.	Prospects for Settlement Based on the Effects of a Judgment on Third Parties	151
4.	Settlement Prospects Given Different Assumptions on the Probabilities of Winning and Losing.....	152

5.	The Results of the Analysis Shown as a Percentage of Sales Revenue, a Dollar Amount per Unit, and a Lump Sum	155
a.	Settlement Range as a Percentage of Infringer Sales	155
b.	Settlement Range as Dollars per Unit of Infringer Sales	157
c.	Lump-Sum Payments	159
6.	Settlement with a License When No Action Is Pending	160
B.	Important Patent Controlling a Smaller Market	161
1.	Effect of Large Attorneys' Fees	162
2.	Patent Owner Pays No Attorneys' Fees—Owner with Attorneys on Contingent Fees	165
C.	Important Patent Controlling a Smaller Market with Potential for Increased Damages and Attorneys' Fees Award	167
D.	Licensing an Important Patent Controlling a Smaller Market with No Past Infringement—Licensing	169
E.	Important Patent Controlling a Large Market with a Patent Owner Unable to Produce or Sell—The Nonproducing Patent Owner	172
F.	Less-Important Patent Controlling a Large Market	174
G.	Important Patent Controlling an Average Market with No Gains from Licensing	180

Table of Contents (Part III)

VIII.	THE NUMBER, TIME, AND MANNER OF RESOLUTION OF PATENT ACTIONS.....	184
A.	Overview of Empirical Data on Patent Litigation and Settlement	184
B.	Increase in Patent Litigation	184
C.	Use of Trial to Decide Patent Actions	185
D.	Frequency of Patent Actions Resolved by Pretrial Motion	188
E.	Frequency of Patent Actions Resolved by Settlement in the District Courts.....	190
F.	Uniformity in Method of Disposition Over Time	194
G.	Settlement after Judgment and Prior to Appeal.....	196
H.	Settlement during Appeal.....	197
I.	Time from Filing to Disposition by Trial, Pretrial Motion, and Settlement	198
1.	Median Time to Termination	198
2.	Average or Mean Time to Termination.....	199
3.	Relative Time-wise Efficiency of Different Methods.....	200
4.	Year-to-Year Variance.....	205
J.	Procedural Stage and Time of Settlement of Infringement Actions	210
IX.	THE OUTCOME OF PATENT ACTIONS.....	214
A.	Patent Owner Win Rates in the District Courts	214
1.	Total Judgments	214
2.	Trial	215
3.	Pretrial Motion	216
4.	Trial and Pretrial Motion	216
5.	Weighted Average Win Rates.....	216
6.	Historical Win Rates	217
7.	Judge and Jury Trial.....	219
B.	Patent Owner Win Rates in the Federal Circuit	221
1.	The Dunner Study	221
2.	The Moore Study	222
3.	The Allison and Lemley Study	222
4.	Janicke and Ren Study.....	223
5.	Overall Effect.....	223
C.	Observations on Patent Owner Win Rates.....	223

1.	Appeal.....	224
2.	Declaratory Judgments.....	224
3.	Systematic Differences in Predictions.....	224
4.	Third-Party Effects	225
5.	Differences in the Value of Winning and Cost of Losing.....	227
6.	What Is Winning?	228
7.	Other Influences	229
D.	Patent Owner Win Rates on Particular Issues	229
1.	Dunner Study.....	229
2.	Allison and Lemley Study.....	231
3.	Moore Study.....	232
4.	Summary	233
E.	Patent Injunctions	234
F.	Patent Damages	237
1.	Damages Data and Adjustments	237
2.	Average or Mean Awards.....	238
3.	Damages and the Profitability of Litigation.....	239
4.	Litigation When Not Justified by Expected Damages.....	242
5.	Highly Skewed Distribution of Damages Awards	243
6.	Awards Other Than after Trial.....	250
7.	Total Awards at Trial.....	251
G.	Increased Damages.....	252
H.	Attorneys' Fees Awards.....	253

Table of Contents (Part IV)

X.	SETTLEMENT AND THE LAW	246
A.	Substantive Patent Law	246
B.	Settlement of Patent Disputes before Litigation	246
	1. Background	247
	2. Licensee Estoppel	247
	3. Eviction	249
	4. <i>Lear</i> Eliminated Licensee Estoppel	249
	5. <i>Lear</i> Is Read (Incorrectly) to Prevent Enforcement of Agreements, Not to Contest Validity	250
C.	Settlement of Patent Disputes during Litigation	250
	1. License Entered as Part of Settlement	251
	2. Settlement Agreement and Order	251
	3. Settlement Agreement	252
	4. Consent Judgment	254
	5. Dismissal With or Without Prejudice	256
	6. Injunction and Contempt	259
	7. Other Issues	261
D.	Declaratory Judgment Actions and Jurisdiction	262
	1. Declaratory Judgment Actions by Licensees	262
	2. What Licensees Should Do after <i>Lear</i> and <i>MedImmune</i>	269
	3. What Patent Owners Should Do after <i>Lear</i> and <i>MedImmune</i>	271
	4. Declaratory Judgment Actions by Potential Infringers-Licensees	280
E.	Antitrust Law and Settlement	284
	1. Enforcement of Patents	285
	2. Agreements to Settle Patent Actions	286
	3. Agreements among Patent Owners About Licensing	288
	4. Agreements among Infringers or Potential Licensees About Licensing	289
	5. Settlement with Payments by Patent Owner to Infringer—Reverse Payments	290
	6. Agreements Not to Contest Validity	295
F.	Patent Misuse and Settlement	296
G.	Agreements to License on FRAND and RAND Terms	298
H.	Legislation to Change the Laws That Interfere with Licensing and Settlement	303